

Laboratory Study of Arched Plasma Eruptions in a Sheared Magnetic Field



SUMMARY

- Evolution of a kink-stable arched magnetized plasma is studied in the presence of a nearly horizontal overlying magnetic field. The experiment was designed to capture the essential dynamics of arched plasma on the Sun
- Application of uniform background field introduces magnetic shear and leads to eruptive events. Signatures of eruptions are observed across all diagnostics used in experiments presented here (seen in density, magnetic field, temperature and plasma flow data).
- The arched plasma evolving in a sheared magnetic field ejects a flux rope in direction perpendicular to the lateral symmetry plane of the arch. The ejected flux rope carries a short-lived supersonic plasma flow away from the arch and a longer persisting electrical current.
- Eruption takes place during the initial stages of arched plasma evolution and lasts around few tens of Alfven times. Post-eruption, arched plasma becomes steady-state. A persisting smaller 'leakage' electrical current and plasma flow away from the arch is
- observed.

THE EXPERIMENT

- Arched plasma structures are common in solar atmosphere (solar prominences, coronal loops); oftentimes lead to eruptive events (e.g.: CME, solar jets, solar flares)
- These eruptive events are still difficult to forecast, and the exact nature of processes and mechanisms leading to solar eruptions is an open area of research.
- The experiment at UCLA was built and tuned to capture the essential physics of arched plasma on the Sun







Figure 1: (a) A solar prominence observed in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) wavelength of 304 Å by SOHO spacecraft on 28 March 2000 (Credit:NASA). (b) Schematic of a model flux rope in solar corona. (c) Photograph of the experimental setup depicting its main features

Plasma parameters were scaled down appropriately such that experiment is relevant to the solar case

	Solar Prominence	Labo
Plasma β	$10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$	
r/r _i	$10^9 - 10^{10}$	
Lundquist number	$10^{12} - 10^{14}$	
Experiment time scale / τ_A	150	
Resistive diffusive time / τ_A	10 ¹⁰	
Aspect ratio	5	

Table 1: Typical plasma parameters for this experiment and relevant solar structures.

Experimental Setup:

- The ambient field (< 50 G) is generated by outside coils along the cylindrical axis of the device (z-axis).
- Typical electric potential difference between electrodes was around 400 V. An arched magnetic field was produced by the set of coils installed on electrodes. The strength of that field was on order of 10³ Gauss at footpoints.

	(Top View) LaB ₆ arched plasma source											(Side View)		
	000000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000000	Top-Front	
	LaB ₆	backgro ma sou	ound irce		Ţ	Z X			Side Camera View ←∑		Camera View			
	0000000	000	000		 % % 3-dot a	nd Lang	/ muir Pro	obes		000	000	000000	Electromagnet coils	
(NOT TO SCALE)														

The arched plasma evolves on timescales faster than a resistive diffusion time

- Resistive diffusion time \approx 500 µs Alfvén transit time $\approx 2 \ \mu s$
- The early stages of plasma evolution are very dynamic and eruptive (t<50 μ s)
- Post eruptive state comes in later during the discharge t>100 μs
- Typical arched plasma current usually lies between 50-150 A.

Diagnostics include:

- B-dot probe (magnetic field)
- Mach probe (flow) Langmuir triple probe (density, temperature)
- Fast camera (imaging)





(tips 2mm long and 0.75mm diameter)

The experiment is highly reproducible and operates at high repetition rate, allowing for the measurement of plasma parameters in three dimension with good spatio-temporal resolution.

Figure 4: Fast camera picture of a typical arched plasma discharge, capturing the b-dot probe during data acquisition.



<u>Kamil Sklodowski¹, Shreekrishna Tripathi¹, Troy Carter¹</u>

¹Basic Plasma Science Facility, University of California - Los Angeles

SIGNATURES OF ERUPTION IN SPACE

$B_s = 0$ Gauss

Fig. 5: Three-dimensional rendering of the current density streamlines calculated from the magnetic data collected with b-dot probe (t=65µs) after the discharge). The tubular streamlines represent current density, with color scale corresponding to its magnitude. The vacuum. magnetic field is represented by pink ribbons. The two planes on the right panel represent the magnitude of the z-component of current density at z=-18cm and z=-60cm. The presence of strapping field (30 Gauss) leads to an ejection of electric current towards negative z-direction.



Fig. 6: Electron density at t=48µs after the discharge in the XZ plane at y=0 cm (arch cross section in the middle) for Bs = 0 Gauss on the left and 30 Gauss on the right. There are clear signatures of density towards negative z-axis in the presence of strong strapping field indicating an ongoing eruption.



Fig. 7: Electron temperature at t=48µs after the discharge in the XZ plane at y=0 (arch cross section in the middle) for Bs = 0 Gauss on the left and 30 Gauss on the right. The plasma ejected towards negative z-axis (right panel) is evidently energetic.



Fig. 8: Electron density (left) and temperature (right) at t=48µs after the discharge in the XY plane at z=-18cm (cross section of the erupted flux rope). With strong magnetic shear present, the energetic plasma is detected beyond the structure of the arch.



Fig. 9: The z-component of plasma flow (left) and the z-component of current density (right) at t=48µs after the discharge in the XY plane at z=-18cm (cross section of the erupted flux rope). The ejected flux rope carries electric current and a supersonic plasma flow directed away from the arch.













assistance.

This research was supported by the US Department of Energy under award number DE-SC0022153 and National Science Foundation under award number 1619551. The experiment is conducted at the Basic Plasma Science Facility (BaPSF) at UCLA, which is supported by US DOE under Contract No. DE-FC02-07ER54918 and the NSF under Award No. PHY1561912.





Poster #52

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank S. Vincena for useful discussions and Z. Lucky, M. Drandell, T. Ly, P. Pribyl, and A. S. Kohli for expert technical